### Problem 1

Let  $\varphi \in \{\mathbb{C} \setminus 0\}$ . Consider the matrix



Determine an explicit expression for the eigendecomposition of  $Z_{\varphi} = V \Lambda V^{-1}$  and discuss how one can efficiently compute the products Vx and  $V^{-1}x$ .

Solution. Observe that  $Z_{\phi}$  is a companion matrix with characteristic equation  $\lambda^n - \phi = 0$ . Let  $\bar{\omega}_n = e^{2\pi i/n}$ . If  $\varphi^{1/n}$  is the principal *n*-th root of  $\varphi \in \{\mathbb{C} \setminus 0\}$ , the eigenvalues and corresponding left-eigenmatrix entries of  $Z_{\phi}$  can be expressed as  $\lambda_k = \varphi^{1/n} \bar{\omega}_n^{k-1}$  and  $w_{kl} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \varphi^{(l-1)/n} \bar{\omega}_n^{(k-1)(l-1)}$  for k, l = 1, n, respectively. We thus observe that the left-eigenmatrix is a column-scaled inverse DFT matrix, i.e.,

$$V^{-1} = W = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & \bar{\omega} & \bar{\omega}^2 & \cdots & \bar{\omega}^{n-1} \\ 1 & \bar{\omega}^2 & \bar{\omega}^3 & \cdots & \bar{\omega}^{2(n-1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & \bar{\omega}^{n-1} & \bar{\omega}^{(n-1)2} & \cdots & \bar{\omega}^{(n-1)(n-1)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ \varphi^{1/n} & & & \\ & \varphi^{2/n} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \varphi^{(n-1)/n} \end{bmatrix} = F_n^* D_{\varphi}^{-1}$$

We therefore have the eigendecomposition

$$Z_{\varphi} = (D_{\varphi}F_n)(\varphi^{1/n}\Omega)(D_{\varphi}F_n)^{-1},$$

where  $\Omega = \text{diag}(1, \bar{\omega}_n, \dots, \bar{\omega}_n^{n-1})$  Computing Vx and  $V^{-1}x$  can be done fast in  $O(n \log n)$  as one simply needs to apply a FFT in combination with a diagonal scaling.

### Problem 2

Consider the problem of finding the best  $L^2$ -approximation of a function through a linear combination of cosines, i.e.,

$$\inf_{c \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left( f(\theta) - \sum_{k=1}^n c_k \cos(k\theta) \right)$$

The entries of the normal equation Ac = b for this problem take on the form

$$a_{kl} = \int_{a}^{b} \cos(k\theta) \cos(l\theta) d\theta, \qquad b_{k} = \int_{a}^{b} \cos(k\theta) f(\theta) d\theta$$

Make use of trigonometric identies to show that  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is the sum of a Toeplitz and a Hankel matrix. Furthermore, verify that such matrices have low displacement rank for the displacement operator  $Y_{\phi,\delta}A - AY_{\gamma,\sigma}$ , where

$$Y_{\phi,\delta} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & 1 & \delta \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \phi & 1 & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

What is the displacement rank?

Solution. The matrix A is the sum of a Toeplitz and a Hankel matrix, because

$$\begin{aligned} a_{kl} &= \int_{a}^{b} \cos(k\theta) \cos(l\theta) d\theta \\ &= \int_{a}^{b} \frac{1}{2} \left( \cos(k\theta) \cos(l\theta) + \sin(k\theta) \sin(l\theta) \right) d\theta + \int_{a}^{b} \frac{1}{2} \left( \cos(k\theta) \cos(l\theta) - \sin(k\theta) \sin(l\theta) \right) d\theta \\ &= \int_{a}^{b} \frac{1}{2} \cos((k-l)\theta) d\theta + \int_{a}^{b} \frac{1}{2} \cos((k+l)\theta) d\theta \\ &= t(k-l) + h(k+l). \end{aligned}$$

Evaluating the displacement operator we get something of the form:

$$Y_{\phi,\delta}A - AY_{\gamma,\sigma} = \begin{bmatrix} * & * & * & \cdots & * \\ * & & & * \\ * & & & * \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ * & * & * & \cdots & * \end{bmatrix}$$

The right-hand-side is a rank 4 matrix. Hence, the displacement rank is 4.

# Problem 3

Let A be a square non-singular matrix and suppose that

$$\Omega \begin{bmatrix} A & G^* \\ F & B \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} A & G^* \\ F & B \end{bmatrix} \Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} R_1 \\ R_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} S_1 \\ S_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $\Omega = \text{diag}(\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n)$  and  $\Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)$ . By inserting Gauss transforms:

$$H_1 = \begin{bmatrix} I & \\ -FA^{-1} & I \end{bmatrix}, \qquad H_2 = \begin{bmatrix} I & -A^{-1}G^* \\ I \end{bmatrix}$$

at appropriate locations in the above equation, find the corresponding displacement rank equation for the Schur complement  $B - FA^{-1}G^*$ .

Solution. We perform the manipulation:

$$H_1 \Omega H_1^{-1} H_1 \begin{bmatrix} A & G^* \\ F & B \end{bmatrix} H_2 - H_1 \begin{bmatrix} A & G^* \\ F & B \end{bmatrix} H_2 H_2^{-1} \Lambda H_2 = H_1 \begin{bmatrix} R_1 \\ R_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} S_1 \\ S_2 \end{bmatrix}^* H_2$$

The reduces to:

$$\Omega \begin{bmatrix} A \\ B - FA^{-1}G^* \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} A \\ B - FA^{-1}G^* \end{bmatrix} \Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} R_1 \\ R_2 - FA^{-1}R_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} S_1 \\ S_2 - G(A^*)^{-1}S_1 \end{bmatrix}^{\prime}$$

Hence  $B - FA^{-1}G^*$  satisfies the displacement equation

$$\tilde{\Omega}(B - FA^{-1}G^*) - (B - FA^{-1}G^*)\tilde{\Lambda} = \tilde{R}\tilde{S}^*,$$

where:

$$\tilde{\Omega} = \begin{bmatrix} \omega_2 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \omega_n \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \tilde{\Lambda} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_2 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \tilde{R} = R_2 - FA^{-1}R_1, \qquad \tilde{S} = S_2 - G(A^*)^{-1}S_1.$$

### Problem 4

Using displacement rank theory and the block matrix

 $\begin{bmatrix} I & T_2 \\ T_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ 

to describe a procedure to multiply two Toeplitz matrices  $T_1T_2$  in  $\Theta(n^2)$  flops.

Solution. The first observation we make is that computing  $T_1T_2$  is equivalent to performing one step of block-LU, since

$$\begin{bmatrix} I & T_2 \\ T_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ T_1 & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & T_2 \\ 0 & -T_1 T_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

The second observation we make is that  $\begin{bmatrix} I & T_2 \\ T_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  is a matrix of low displacement rank, since

$$\begin{bmatrix} I & T_{2} \\ T_{1} & 0 \end{bmatrix} - Z_{2n,\downarrow} \begin{bmatrix} I & T_{2} \\ T_{1} & 0 \end{bmatrix} Z_{2n,\downarrow}^{\top} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & & & T_{0} & \tau_{-1} & \tau_{-2} & \cdots & \tau_{-n+1} \\ 0 & & & & T_{2} & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \vdots & & & \\ \hline & & & & & T_{n-1} & & & \\ \hline & & & & & & T_{n-1} & & & \\ \hline & & & & & & T_{n-1} & & & \\ \hline & & & & & & & T_{n-1} & & & \\ \hline & & & & & & & T_{n-1} & & & \\ \hline & & & & & & & T_{n-1} & & & \\ \hline & & & & & & & T_{n-1} & & & \\ \hline & & & & & & & T_{n-1} & & & \\ \hline & & & & & & & T_{n-1} & & & \\ \hline & & & & & & & T_{n-1} & & & \\ \hline & & & & & & T_{n-1} & & & \\ \hline & & & & & & T_{n-1} & & & \\ \hline & & & & & & T_{n-1} & & & \\ \hline & & & & & & T_{n-1} & & & \\ \hline & & & & & & T_{n-1} & & & \\ \hline & & & & & & T_{n-1} & & \\ \hline & & & & & & T_{n-1} & & \\ \hline & & & & & & T_{n-1} & & \\ \hline & & & & & & T_{n-1} & & \\ \hline & & & & & & T_{n-1} & & \\ \hline & & & & & & T_{n-1} & & \\ \hline & & & & & & T_{n-1} & & \\ \hline & & & & & & T_{n-1} & & \\ \hline & & & & & & T_{n-1} & & \\ \hline & & & & & & T_{n-1} & \\ \hline & & & & & & T_{n-1} & \\ \hline & & & & & & T_{n-1} & \\ \hline & & & & & T_{n-1} & & \\ \hline & & & & & T_{n-1} & & \\ \hline & & & & & T_{n-1} & & \\ \hline & & & & & T_{n-1} & & \\ \hline & & & & & T_{n-1} & \\ \hline & & & & & T_{n-1} & \\ \hline & & & & & T_{n-1} & \\ \hline & & & & & T_{n-1} & \\ \hline & & & & & T_{n-1} & \\ \hline & & & & & T_{n-1} & \\ \hline & & & & & T_{n-1} & \\ \hline & & T_{n-1} & \\ \hline & & & T_{n-1} & \\ \hline & T_$$

The third observation we make is that the displacement operators  $Z_{2n,\downarrow}$  and  $Z_{2n,\downarrow}^{\top}$  are lower and upper triangular, respectively. Thus one can obtain a compact representation (O(n) numbers) of the product of two Toeplitz matrices by running the Schur algorithm. The time complexity of this operation is  $O(n^2)$ .

# Problem 4

Determine an explicit expression for the inverse of

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ a_1 & 1 & & \\ & a_2 & 1 & & \\ & & a_3 & \ddots & \\ & & & \ddots & 1 & \\ & & & & a_{n-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

What can be said about the ranks of the lower off-diagonal blocks?

Solution. We use von Neumann series  $(I - X)^{-1} = I + X + X^2 + X^3 + \dots$  to evaluate inverse of A. Write

$$A = I + Z_{\downarrow} \operatorname{diag}(\boldsymbol{a}), \qquad \boldsymbol{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$$

Hence, taking note of the nilpotency of  $Z_{\downarrow}$ , we may write

$$\begin{aligned} A^{-1} &= (I - (-Z_{\downarrow} \operatorname{diag}(\boldsymbol{a})))^{-1} \\ &= I - Z_{\downarrow} \operatorname{diag}(\boldsymbol{a}) + (Z_{\downarrow} \operatorname{diag}(\boldsymbol{a}))^{2} - (Z_{\downarrow} \operatorname{diag}(\boldsymbol{a}))^{3} + \ldots + (-1)^{n-1} (Z_{\downarrow} \operatorname{diag}(\boldsymbol{a}))^{n-1} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} a_{1} & & & \\ & a_{2} & & \\ & & a_{3} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & a_{n-1} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} a_{1}a_{2} & & & \\ & a_{2}a_{3} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & a_{n-2}a_{n-1} \end{bmatrix} \\ &- \begin{bmatrix} a_{1}a_{2}a_{3} & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & a_{n-3}a_{n-2}a_{n-1} \end{bmatrix} + \ldots + (-1)^{n-1} \begin{bmatrix} & & & \\ & a_{1}a_{2} \cdots a_{n-1} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$A_{ij}^{-1} = \begin{cases} 0 & i < j \\ 1 & i = j \\ (-1)^{i-j} \prod_{k=j}^{i-1} a_k & i > j \end{cases}$$

As proven in class, the ranks of the off-diagonal (i.e., Hankel) blocks of a matrix is invariant under inversion. We recognize this property also in the inverse of A. The upper off-diagonal blocks of the inverse are of zero rank, whereas the lower off-diagonal blocks are of unit rank, since

$$A^{-1}(i+1:n,1:i) = \begin{bmatrix} a_i \\ -a_i a_{i+1} \\ \vdots \\ (-1)^{n-i} a_i a_{i+1} \cdots a_{n-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} (-1)^{n-i-1} a_{i-1} & \cdots & a_1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$